

Magnetic structure of Spin $S=1/2$ linear trimer system Na₂Cu₃Ge₄O₁₂

Yukio Yasui (A), Rusei Toma (A), Lukas Keller (B), and Jonathan White (B)

(A) Meiji University, (B) Paul Scherrer Institut

Low dimensional quantum spin systems attract much attention. In particular, the frustrated quantum spin systems due to geometrical arrangement or competing interactions are expected to exhibit various interesting properties. Na₂Cu₃Ge₄O₁₂ has a Cu₃O₈ trimer formed of edge-sharing three CuO₄ square planes [1]. For Cu²⁺ spins ($S=1/2$) within the Cu₃O₈ trimer, the second-neighbor exchange interaction J_2 is antiferromagnetic ($J_2 > 0$), and the nearest-neighbor exchange interaction J_1 is weak ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic (AF). Such competing interactions between J_1 and J_2 can lead to novel quantum magnetic phenomena.

The T-dependence of magnetic susceptibility of Na₂Cu₃Ge₄O₁₂ in the T-region $70 \text{ K} < T < 650 \text{ K}$ can be explained by the isolated $S = 1/2$ Heisenberg trimer model, and it is obtained $J_2/k_B = 340 \pm 20 \text{ K}$ (AF), and $J_1/k_B = 30 \pm 20 \text{ K}$. [2] On the other hand, T-dependence of of Na₂Cu₃Ge₄O₁₂ in the T-region $4 \text{ K} < T < 70 \text{ K}$ can be explained by the $S = 1/2$ uniform Heisenberg chain model called as Bonner-Fisher model [3], and it is obtained $J_3/k_B = 18 \pm 1 \text{ K}$ where J_3 is an inter-trimer exchange interaction.[2] The ground state of the isolated $S = 1/2$ trimer is found that two spins of the edge in the Cu₃O₈ trimers form a nonmagnetic singlet state by strong AF interaction J_2 . The center spin of the Cu₃O₈ trimer only survives in low T-region $T < 70 \text{ K}$. The behavior of the specific heat in the T-region $4 \text{ K} < T < 70 \text{ K}$ can also be explained by the $S = 1/2$ uniform Heisenberg chain model. As results of measurements of magnetic susceptibility, specific heat, and dielectric constant, the center spin of the Cu₃O₈ trimer of Na₂Cu₃Ge₄O₁₂ exhibits an antiferromagnetic transition at $T_N = 2 \text{ K}$ accompanied with a ferroelectricity (called multiferroic phenomenon). From the detailed magneti-

使用施設：JRR-3M，装置：T1-3:HERMES
分野：Magnetism

zation measurements, we found the existence of dM/dH anomaly at $H_c = 0.37 \text{ T}$ at $T = 1.9 \text{ K}$ ($< T_N$). It is indicating that the magnetic structure changes at H_c . The determination of the magnetic structure at $H = 0$ and $H = 1 \text{ T}$ ($> H_c$) give us important information to understand the magnetic behavior of the $S = 1/2$ linear trimer system.

We investigated the magnetic structure of Na₂Cu₃Ge₄O₁₂ below T_N through powder neutron diffraction experiments using cold neutron powder diffractometer DMC at PSI. We used amount of 15 g of powder for Na₂Cu₃Ge₄O₁₂. The superconducting magnet and the dilution refrigerator were used to reach down to 0.1 K and up to 1 T.

We obtained powder neutron diffraction patterns at $T = 0.1 \text{ K}$, 3K, and 12 K, respectively, in $H = 0$ as well as that of $T = 0.1 \text{ K}$ applied the magnetic field $H = 1 \text{ T}$. The figure (a) show the diffraction patterns at $T=0.1 \text{ K}$ and 12 K, respectively. We can clearly observe the super-lattice magnetic Bragg peaks below T_N assigned by the arrows. The figure (b) show the intensity profile of difference between at $T = 0.1 \text{ K}$ and 12 K, $I(0.1\text{K}) - I(12\text{K})$. We also found the possible magnetic Bragg peaks assigned by the short arrows. By applied the magnetic field $H = 1 \text{ T}$, no difference found the magnetic Bragg intensities at $T = 0.1 \text{ K}$ in the error bar. Then, changing the magnetic structure at H_c seems to be small. Recently, the aligned powder of Na₂Cu₃Ge₄O₁₂ can be obtained in the magnetic field $H = 9 \text{ T}$. For determination of the magnetic structure of Na₂Cu₃Ge₄O₁₂, the results of the various measurements of the aligned powder give us important information. We are analyzing the neutron diffraction data and various measurements data.

[1] X. Mo et al.: Inorg. Chem. 45 3478 (2006).

[2] Y. Yasui et al.: J. Appl. Phys. 115 17E125

(2014).

[3] J. C. Bonner et al.: Phys. Rev. 135 A640

(1964).




Fig. 1. (a). Neutron powder diffraction patterns measured at 0.1 K and 12 K. The arrows indicate the magnetic Bragg peaks. (b). Intensity profile of difference between at $T = 0.1\text{ K}$ and 12 K.